

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                        | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/465,006                             | 12/16/1999      | Michael Heckmeier    | MERCK-2073              | 8358             |  |
| 23599                                  | 7590 01/03/2003 |                      |                         |                  |  |
| MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. |                 |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |  |
| 2200 CLARE<br>SUITE 1400               | NDON BLVD.      | WU, SHEAN CHIU       |                         |                  |  |
| ARLINGTON                              | I, VA 22201     |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|  |                 |                      | 1756                    | 11               |  |
|  |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 01/03/2003 | 66               |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Applicati n N .   |  | Applicant(s)      |             |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|-------------------|-------------|--|--|--|
|   | 09/465,006  |  | HECKMEIER ET AL.  |             |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  |  | Art Unit          |             |  |  |  |
| •   | Shean C Wu  |  | 1756              |             |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communicati n app  |   |  |                   | dress       |  |  |  |
| Period for Reply  |   |  |                   |             |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |  |                   |             |  |  |  |
| Status  | Joyambar 2002   |  |                   |             |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 A   |   | inal   |                   |             |  |  |  |
| , <u> </u>  | is action is non-f  |  | accution on to th | o morito io |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims   |   |  |                   |             |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-34</u> is/are pending in the applicatio  | n.  |  |                   |             |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray   |   | ation.   |                   |             |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |   |  |                   |             |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-34</u> is/are rejected.  |   | ·  |                   |             |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |   |  |                   |             |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |   |  |                   |             |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |  |                   |             |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |  |                   |             |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  |   |  |                   |             |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |   |  |                   |             |  |  |  |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.   |   |  |                   |             |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |   |  |                   |             |  |  |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |  |                   |             |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |   | E I I C C C 440/-)                               | (d) == (f)        |             |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |   |  |                   |             |  |  |  |
| a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  |   | .i m ml  |                   |             |  |  |  |
| <u> </u>  | <ul> <li>1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul> |  |                   |             |  |  |  |
| _   |   |  |                   | Stogo       |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |   |  |                   |             |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  |   |  |                   |             |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.   |   |  |                   |             |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |   |  |                   |             |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)   | 4)  | Interview Summary ( Notice of Informal Pa Other: |                   |             |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/465,006

Art Unit: 1756

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 11-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kondo et al. above.

The reference discloses liquid crystal compounds represented by formula (1), which exhibit not only a negative anisotropy value but also extremely high voltage retention and low threshold voltages, are reduced in the temperature dependence of these properties, difficultly exhibit a smectic phase, and are excellent in compatibility with other liquid crystal materials; liquid crystal compositions containing the same; and liquid crystal displays made by using the compositions. The liquid crystal composition comprise other suitable compounds are expressed by the general formulae (2)-(12). The suitable compounds and their properties are discussed in the specification. See formulae (2)-(6) on col. 23, lines 40-46 and col. 28, lines 23-51, which have a positive dielectric anisotropy value (corresponding to the present formula II). The general formulae (7)-(9) are correspond to the present formula III (see col. 32, lines 7-22). The general formulae (10)-(12) with left ring being a cyclohexene overlap the claimed formula II. See col. 33, line 37 to col. 34, line 7. The compounds and their properties of Examples 25 and 26 are

Application/Control Number: 09/465,006

Art Unit: 1756

closest to the present claims. The reference differs from the claims in that the claims have more specific components in claimed medium. The claimed medium is not exemplified in the reference. However, the compounds and their concentrate ranges of the formulae II1 and IIIa-IIId are all disclosed and taught by the reference. With respect to claims 24, 27-28, 30-31 and 33-34, the reference differs from the claims in that the claims have more specific values for the liquid crystal properties. The reference teaches that compounds expressed by one of the general formulas (7) to (9) have a small absolute value of dielectric anisotropy and are close to neutral and the compounds expressed by the general formula (7) are used principally for the purpose of adjusting viscosity or adjusting optical anisotropy value. The reference further teaches that the compounds expressed by the general formula (8) or (9) are used for the purpose of expanding nematic range such as raising clearing point or for the purpose of adjusting optical anisotropy value. The general formula (10) are two rings compounds, the compounds are used principally for the purpose of adjusting threshold voltage, adjusting viscosity, or adjusting optical anisotropy value. Compounds expressed by the general formula (11) are used for the purpose of expanding nematic range such as raising clearing point or for the purpose of adjusting optical anisotropy value. Compounds expressed by the general formula (12) are used for the purpose of lowering threshold voltage and for the purpose of increasing optical anisotropy value in addition to the purpose of expanding nematic range. Therefore, it would have been obvious to those skilled in the art to admix those known compounds with formulae I and II of the present invention and optimize the ranges of these compounds to arrive at the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/465,006

Art Unit: 1756

With respect to claim 19, the reference differs from the claim in that the claim has three-pole active switch. The active matrix comprising three-pole switching element such as TFT is also known in the art (see US 5,883,686), it would have been obvious to those skilled in the art to use reference liquid crystal materials for the claimed device.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to those skilled in the art to utilize the reference teaching by selecting each component and its properties to meet their needs for the liquid crystal medium such as the claimed invention.

## Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 11/12/2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. First, Applicant argue that the reference Examples 25 and 26 do not teach the compound having a 2,3-diffuorinated attached to right-side ring. Applicant's attention is directed to compositions 20 and 21, which compound 1 has a 2, 3-diffuorinated ring at right-hand side or left-hand side depended on how you rotate the chemical structure. Also, the compounds in Composition Examples of the reference 3 and 8-9 having a core structure HB(2,3F) or HHB(2,3F), which has a cyclohexyl ring at left terminal. Therefore, the rejections under 103 are still maintained. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 11-34 have been considered but are also moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shean C Wu whose telephone number is 703-308-3956. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30 -6:00.

Art Unit: 1756

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on 703-308-2464. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7718 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

PRIMARY EXAMINED